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* Denotes Attachment
1. NOTICE OF MEETING

A meeting of the General Management Committee was held on Thursday 16 December, 2010 in the Boardroom of the Association Offices, 34 Patrick Street, Hobart.

The Meeting commenced at **11.30am** and was followed by -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.00pm</td>
<td>PLGC Meeting</td>
<td>Reception Room, Level 11, 15 Murray Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00pm</td>
<td>Premiers Cocktail Function</td>
<td>Reception Room, as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00pm</td>
<td>GMC Dinner</td>
<td>Smolt, Salamanca Square</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A light lunch will be provided.

**IN ATTENDANCE:**

- Mayor Barry Easther, President
- Mayor Don Thwaites, West - North West Region
- Mayor Lynn Laycock, West - North West Region
- Deputy Mayor Frank Nott, Northern Region
- Mayor Robert Legge, Northern Region
- Mayor Deirdre Flint, Southern Region
- Mayor Jock Campbell, Southern Region

**APOLOGIES:**

- Lord Mayor Rob Valentine, Hobart City Council

**OBSERVER:**

- Councillor James Graham, Derwent Valley Council
1.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES *

Mayor Robert Legge/Mayor Don Thwaites
That the Minutes of the meeting held on 23 September 2010, as circulated, be confirmed.  
Carried

Minutes of the meetings held on 23 September, 2010 are at Attachment to Item 1.1.

1.2 BUSINESS ARISING *

That the Committee receive the report on business arising from the meeting held in September 2010.  
Resolved

Update of Policy items from the last meeting not covered in this Agenda are at Attachment to Item 1.2.

1.3 PRESIDENT’S REPORT

That the President’s report be received.  
Resolved

Since his last meeting, the President has represented the Association -

Meetings
ALGA Board Meeting  
General Meeting

Forums
Northern Midlands Council  
Huon Valley Council  
Kingborough Council  
Circular Head and Waratah Wynyard Councils  
Mayors Workshop  
Legislative Council - Government Business Enterprises

Events
Mineral Councils Dinner  
Opened the IPWEA Conference  
Judged the National Disability Awards  
Attending the opening of the Cannington Mill

Media - Radio/TV
Planning
1.4 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S REPORT *

That the Chief Executive Officer’s report be received.

Resolved

Interface with external bodies
- Quadrant Board Meeting
- CMP Board Meeting
- Tourism Tasmania – Planning for Tourism

Local Government Forums
- Sustainability Indicators Steering Committee
- Attendance at Waratah Wynyard and Circular Head Council workshop
- Regional Waste Group Forum
- State LGMA Conference
- Standards Panel Hearings
- Onstream briefing on operations and performance
- Presentation at Rating Conference
- Electronic Development Assessment Forum
- Mayors Workshop
- Emergency Management Workshop
- Women Can Meeting
- Training Workshop – Break O’Day

State Government Meetings
- Evidence presented to GBE Scrutiny Committee re water and sewerage corporations
- Tasmanian Planning Commission re Planning Amnesty
- PLGC Officials’ Meeting
- Minister for Environment – waste management
- Shadow Minister for Planning – Capital City strategy and general planning reform
- Premier’s Physical Activity Council meeting
- Integrity Commission – general discussion
- Capital Cities Strategy Group meeting with Expert Panel
- Minister for Local Government Chief of Staff
- Alcohol Advisory Group Meeting
- Valuation and Rating Review Steering Committee
- Road Safety Advisory Council
- DIER – Bus stop infrastructure
- Nomenclature Board
- Local Government Division – regular monthly meetings and governance arrangements for Local Government Fund projects
2. POLICY

2.1 WASTE LEVY

That the Committee note recent developments in relation to this matter.

Resolved

Background
The issue of waste management has been one of contention between State and Local Government for in excess of a decade. In particular, the issue of funding and the proposal to implement a waste levy have been a constant source of frustration and disagreement.

The Association waged an extensive campaign against the imposition of a statewide levy several years ago, compromising with the establishment of regional waste management bodies and the establishment of regional levies to undertake local and regional waste strategies.

In more recent times, with the formation of the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) and the establishment of the Tasmanian Waste Advisory Committee (TWAC), there has been renewed discussion on the merits of a broader levy to fund necessary waste initiatives. Local Government has also been active in the area of considering the future of waste with all regions engaging consultants to assist in the identification of opportunities and possible strategies going forward. A presentation was also recently made at the Mayors’ Workshop by the consultant working with the North and North West regions where it was highlighted that an injection of funds was necessary to ensure that waste management could be progressed with appropriate haste and attention to past deficiencies.

Informal indications from the regional waste groups suggest that there is support for an increase in the current levying arrangements but concerns remain about the distribution of the funds and the associated governance. The imposition of a State Government levy is commonplace in other jurisdictions with the funding collected being spent on waste initiatives. In some jurisdictions, a portion (up to half) is allocated to the running of the relevant EPA. This latter arrangement would be totally unacceptable to Tasmanian Local Government whereas the hypothecation of funds to be used directly with waste issues would generally be supported. The fundamental issue arises as to who would be responsible for the funds and their allocation.

A recent meeting with the Minister for the Environment by the Association CEO did not resolve the matter as the recently announced portfolio changes were to take effect the week following the meeting. It was highlighted by the outgoing Minister, however, that there was an appetite by the State Government to deal with the plastic bag issue in accordance with the national undertakings and to consider the implications of establishing a broader levy. He advised that he was aware of the TWAC deliberations and was expecting a paper from his Department that detailed options on the implementation of a levy.

Subsequent discussions with the regional Local Government representatives on the TWAC have alluded to the need for a meeting with the Association to develop a sectoral approach to the issue that can be dealt with via the Association rather than being outmanned on the TWAC. The matter will be listed for a future General Meeting and also has been highlighted as a matter of topical interest at the upcoming PLGC Meeting.
Budget Implications
In the event that a levy were to be imposed, there may be significant funds available to address present waste management deficiencies.

Current Policy
The Association has previously not supported the introduction of a statewide levy on waste.

2.2 LG REFORM

That the Committee note the contents of this item.

Resolved

Background
It has become clear in recent weeks that the Premier’s commitment to the previously announced Local Government Reform project has waned significantly. It is understood that the upcoming State Budget is a major reason for the easing of the enthusiasm with concerns about how to appropriately fund and resource the reform to obtain significant outcomes.

Coupled with this position has been the response from councils as to what they would envisage as the opportunities and possibilities arising from a process that allowed for collaborative and effective engagement with the State Government to improve Local Government sustainability. While there was some enthusiasm from councils, the strong message was that there was already a lot on the Local Government plate – planning reform, valuation and rating, financial planning and asset management, the code of conduct review, climate change, the water and sewerage inquiry and the sundry other legislative and policy matters that were requiring the allocation of scarce resources. Many are satisfied that some of the initiatives being taken in relation to resource sharing can be managed effectively from within and to the extent that inputs or funding may required in the future, a separate dialogue with the State Government may be appropriate.

The Southern Councils have received funding from the Commonwealth’s Local Government Reform Fund to investigate service delivery and governance opportunities and this may lead to future work or opportunities in concert with the State Government.

The matter will be raised at the PLGC Meeting with the Premier expected to indicate his position. While Local Government agrees that there may not be benefit in embarking on a resource intensive process at this time, it is considered reasonable to put the State Government on notice such that in the event that a particular initiative may require resourcing and funding at a later stage, we would like to take the opportunity to bring that matter forward, ideally with a bias for yes.

Budget Implications
Does not apply.

Current Policy
Does not apply.
2.3 SELECT COMMITTEE ON TASMANIAN WATER AND SEWERAGE CORPORATIONS

That the Committee note the intention of the Association to make a submission to the Inquiry.

Resolved

Background

The House of Assembly has established a Select Committee to inquire into, and report upon, the three Tasmanian Water And Sewerage Corporations and the Common Services Corporation, Onstream, with particular reference to:

- The governance structure of the corporations and Onstream;
- The operating structure of the corporations and Onstream;
- The first 12 months financial performance of the corporations and Onstream;
- The impact of the structure, operations and legislation on the effectiveness and efficiency of the corporations and Onstream;
- The impact of future prices for consumers of the current corporate structures;
- The identification of strategies and options to enhance the structure, effectiveness and efficiency of the corporations and Onstream;
- The identification of a suitable transition mechanism to avoid a price shock at the end of the 5% price cap period, whilst ensuring the sustainability and viability of the water and sewerage sector and its capacity to continue the important reform process, including the required investment into critical infrastructure capital upgrades and improvements;
- A plan to restructure tariffs to end the cross subsidization between municipalities and to achieve an equitable pricing structure; and
- Any other matters incidental thereto.

Submissions to the Inquiry close on 17 December 2010.

The Association has alerted councils to the Inquiry and has requested inputs to assist in the collation of a broad Local Government response. As with most contentious issues, it is likely that councils will seek to present their own submissions and the development of a single submission will prove difficult. It is likely that the Association response will focus on the negotiations associated with the establishment of the corporations, the principles agreed and the trade-offs that were necessary to achieve the outcome that was sought by Local Government.

Councils recently provided some inputs to the Association to assist in the presentation of data and information to the Legislative Council’s GBE Scrutiny Committee and some of these matters are also likely to be covered in the submission. There is no doubt that there will be competing positions by councils depending on their experience with the reform process. It unlikely that there will be unanimity and the Association will need to be extremely careful in framing its submission so as not to take favour with particular councils on particular positions.

Budget Implications

Does not apply.

Current Policy

The Association previously negotiated a governance and legislative model for the operation of the corporations in accord with the general wishes of the Association’s membership.
2.4 STATE BUDGET CONSULTATION *

That the Committee note the following report.

Resolved

Background
As is usual, the State Government invited submissions in relation to the 2011-12 Budget from community stakeholders.

Submissions had to be provided by 19 November 2010 and they will be reviewed by Treasury and forwarded to relevant agencies for consideration. The Budget will be finalised between December 2010 and June 2011 for delivery by the Treasurer. A copy of the submission made by LGAT is at Attachment to Item 2.4.

Headline issues were identified and canvassed with General Managers with a few additions made.

The four recommendations made were:

1. That adequate resource is allocated to support Local Government reform, for scoping, developing and implementing reform opportunities, refer Agenda Item 2.2.

2. That there is full resourcing to ensure the Regional Planning Initiative Project outcomes are fully achieved in all regions, as well as adequate support for the implementation of planning policy such as the development of the Capital Cities Plan and the move to a common planning template.

3. That the State Government ensure that policy and legislation, which require implementation activities to be undertaken by Local Government, are adequately funded.

4. That consideration is given to some key infrastructure issues, namely:
   a. That there is continued investigation and implementation of State/Local Government resource sharing opportunities and efficiencies in relation to road management, this may be part of the Local Government Reform Project.
   b. That the present distribution arrangements for fees collected from heavy vehicle registrations be reviewed with the aim of providing greater equity in terms of the share provided to councils.
   c. That there is consideration on how potential price shocks are minimised for consumers when the 3 year, 5 per cent cap on water and sewerage charges is removed.
   d. That there is consideration given to the further roll out of gas infrastructure, as originally envisioned, to promote equity of opportunity in the face of escalating energy costs.

Budget Implications
Does not apply.

Current Policy
The submission aligns with current priorities.
2.5 RESPONSE TO HOBART CITY COUNCIL *

That the Committee note the contents of the response to the Lord Mayor of the Hobart city Council.

Resolved

Background
The Hobart City Council wrote to the Association earlier in the year indicating its intention to withdraw its membership but also advising that it would review that decision pending the outcome of certain matters that it sought to have the Association address.

The Council established benchmarks against each of the actions indicating its preferred outcome and was seeking a response in time to deal with the matter as part of its broader budget deliberations. A copy of the letter detailing the responses to each of the matters raised is at Attachment to Item 2.5 for reference by the Committee.

The President has again reiterated his willingness to meet with the Council to discuss the matters raised in the correspondence or any other matter that may assist Council with its deliberations in terms of ongoing participation as a member of the Association.

Budget Implications
Several of the matters raised within the correspondence have required new policy positions being taken by the Association with others being in accord with present policy positions.

Current Policy
The withdrawal of Hobart City Council from the Association would have a significant budget impact and every effort has been made to ameliorate any concerns and address any issues that Council has.

2.6 CODE OF CONDUCT

That the Committee note the following report.

Resolved

Background
The meeting with the Local Government Division with regard to progressing the recommendations coming from the discussion paper and consultation with councils has been delayed due to staff absences, it is due to occur within days of writing this paper and a verbal update will be provided at the meeting.

The Policy Director has met with staff from the Integrity Commission (with responsibility for education and training). They are currently working on a code of conduct for Members of Parliament and will be able to provide input into the development of a model code for councils.

At the last General Meeting/Mayors workshop there appeared to be a high level of support for a model code which all councils would adopt. The Association will ensure such a product is ready prior to the next Local Government elections.
The Association has also started planning for a workshop for Members of the Standards Panels and the independent Chairs of Code of Conduct Panels. It is intended to hold this in the first quarter of next year, probably late March and the Integrity Commission has agreed to provide presenters. We will also be seeking some speakers to discuss ‘principles of natural justice’ and ‘rules of evidence’ amongst other things.

**Budget Implications**
Does not apply.

**Current Policy**
Aligns with current motions.
3. PROJECTS & SERVICES

3.1 FINANCIAL & ASSET MANAGEMENT PROJECT

That the Committee note the following report.

Resolved

Background
After considerable delays, the funding for the Commonwealth’s Local Government Reform Project on Long Term Financial and Strategic Asset Management Planning is due to be received by the State Government on 10 December and then transferred to LGAT upon signing of a deed, the draft of which has been agreed.

In order to not delay the project further and pre-empt the Christmas period, the Association has advertised for the project manager, although an appointment will not be finalised until the money is in hand. The period for applications closes on 13 December 2010.

Earlier in the year, the Association asked General Managers to consider staff from within their councils who could benefit from the experience of managing such a project and also provide appropriate Local Government context. Subsequently the position description was forwarded to all General Managers.

In parallel the Association has distributed a survey for councils to update the profile of financial and asset management practices in Tasmania given the funding delays. This profile will be one of the first papers to be delivered to the Project Steering Committee at its inaugural meeting in January 2011.

Under the terms with the Commonwealth, the Steering Committee is to be jointly chaired by the Local Government Division (Jessie Byrne) and the CEO of LGAT. In addition the Policy Director, Katrena Stephenson and the Assistant Director of the Local Government Division, Greg Brown will participate.

Nominations were sought from councils to fill three additional places on the Steering Committee. The agreed representatives are to be:

- Ron Sanderson, General Manager, Brighton Council;
- Harry Galea, Director Infrastructure Services, Launceston City Council; and
- Matthew Greskie, Executive Manager Engineering Services, Circular Head and Waratah Wynyard Councils.

Budget Implications
Councils and LGAT are required to contribute co funding of $58,000 cash ($2000 per council) and $137,000 in-kind (human resource, meeting spaces etc).

Current Policy
This is a priority project for the Association.
3.2 2011 LGAT ANNUAL CONFERENCE

That the Committee note the following report.

**Background**
Arrangements are now underway for the 99th Local Government Conference to be held at Wrest Point in Hobart from 20-22 July 2011. Special birthday celebrations will be a highlight of the event as 2011 coincides with the 100th year of LGAT. The theme for next year’s conference will be **100 Years Long – 100 Years Strong**.

The Conference Dinner will be held at the Hobart Function and Conference Centre on Elizabeth Pier and, in a departure from previous years, the announcement of the Local Government Award winners will not be made at the dinner but, at the conference itself. By booking a smaller venue for the dinner, we aim to be able to reduce overall costs of staging the event and, in doing so, be able to pass on those cost savings to delegates in attendance.

Due to the fall in the number of participants attending the Partner’s Program in recent years (just 8 people in 2010), this event will be dropped from the Conference program in 2011.

As the 100-year celebrations pave the way for special sponsorship opportunities, the Sponsor Prospectus will be distributed in the New Year to maximise our ability to secure additional funding for the event.

**Budget Implications**
The 100-year celebrations are likely to attract additional sponsorship support and delegate attendance. With the changes to be put in place, the LGAT should be in a position to adequately cover the associated costs and to make a healthy return towards the cost of administering the event.

3.3 LGAT WEBSITE

That the Committee note the following report.

**Background**
The LGAT website is an important marketing tool for the Association. There is a general community (and member) expectation that businesses/organisations will have an up to date and user friendly website as online research becomes a more prevalent source of finding information.

The website is used internally by LGAT staff as a marketing and information resource, by members as a general resource and by the general public and other organisations for sourcing information. It is critical therefore, that the LGAT website meets the needs of members, staff and other target audiences and appears professional and is well maintained.

A review of the current website was conducted earlier this year. The review was based on the four key areas of functionality, presentation, content and maintenance.
LGAT requested quotes for the redesign of a new look website that would meet the current and future needs of its users. The quotes took into account the need for the website to perform the following functions:

- Use the Unity™ DCW edition system content management system that will enable LGAT staff to easily add or take out pages, text, videos, and images;
- Provide information about LGAT to members, stakeholders and the community;
- Enable LGAT to market its services;
- Make available resources for members, stakeholders and the community (pdf documents, links etc);
- Have the potential for video playback;
- Provide search function enabling searching within the website;
- Enable auto archiving of material;
- Provide a “media room” to promote current issues and events;
- Provide a secure “log in” facility such as an intranet page, to enable LGAT and members to post and comment on documents;
- Enable some movement of text and images to draw attention – i.e. flash animation etc.
- Include integration of a system giving an appropriate level of reporting on website use (e.g. Google Analytics);
- Look professional but not conservative.

The estimate of cost of works submitted by website developer ‘Secure eHost’ was accepted by LGAT and we are now going through the planning stage of the project with them. Secure eHost’s breakdown of costs and outline of site features was very comprehensive and LGAT is confident that their service will provide a professional and engaging website for all users.

The project is expected to be completed by February/March 2011.

Budget Implications
Within the current budget.

Current Policy
Does not apply.

| 3.4 100 YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF THE ASSOCIATION |

That the Committee note the 100 Anniversary of the Association and the planned activities.

Resolved

Background
The Local Government Association was formed in 1911 with its first “Annual Session” being conducted on May 22 and May 23, 1912 at the Hobart Town Hall.

The first meeting of the then Municipal Association of Tasmania was held on 29 November 1911. It followed a circular letter being forwarded in the previous month to all councils from the council clerk of Ulverstone to discuss the advisability of establishing the Municipal Association. Held at Ulverstone, the meeting was attended by 21 councils with a further 10 indicating their intention to join an Association if one was formed.
It was duly formed on that day with the Warden of Westbury (Cr. Daniel Burke) being elected President and Mr O.H. Root, the Council Clerk of Ulverstone being appointed as the Secretary for the Association.

The statement of receipts for the Association to 22 May, 1912 showed that 34 councils were financial members and had paid subscriptions in the total amount of £98 and 8 shillings.

This represents a snapshot of the formation of the Association and there are many other instalments in its 100 year history. It is proposed to highlight this history and the achievements of the Association and Local Government at the 2011 Annual Conference.

Story boards, photographic collages, timelines showing major outcomes and the unveiling of an honour roll commemorating the 100 years of Association Presidents will be among the features of the Conference.

In addition, it is proposed to look at a series of “events” or opportunities through the year that would seek to highlight and commemorate the 100 year anniversary. Of course, this will require some resourcing although it is anticipated that the effort will be modest but carefully managed.

It would be hoped to feature the history via the magazine, highlighting colourful characters and major victories. Living Presidents will also be featured, perhaps through the conference as well as other opportunities that present themselves.

Member councils will be contacted shortly to advise the context of the year and to seek contributions and input to the conference and other events and publications throughout the year.

**Budget Implications**
It is anticipated that the centenary efforts will be managed within the Association’s budget.

**Current Policy**
Does not apply.

### 3.5 Local Government Representatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>Elected Member/Offer</th>
<th>Coordinating Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Reform Fund</td>
<td>Mr Ron Sanderson, Brighton Council</td>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>Local Government Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steering Committee</td>
<td>Mr Harry Galea, Launceston City Council</td>
<td>Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Matthew Greskie, Circular Head Council</td>
<td>Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. FINANCES

4.1 PROFIT AND LOSS REPORT *

Mayor Robert Legge/Mayor Deirdre Flint

That the Profit and Loss report to 30 November, 2010 be received.

Carried

Background
At Attachment to Item 4.1, is a print out of the Profit and Loss Report to 30 November, 2010.

Budget Implications
Does not apply.

Current Policy
Does not apply.

4.2 CASH FLOW STATEMENTS *

Deputy Mayor Frank Nott/Mayor Don Thwaites

That the Committee receive the reports for the months of August, September and October 2010.

Carried

Background
It is considered appropriate that the Committee should have access to information relating to the cash position of the Association detailing cash on hand, reserves, amounts held for projects and expenditures and revenues pending.

Detailed cash flow statements are prepared for the information of the Committee and are at Attachment to Item 4.2

Budget Impact
As above.

Current Policy
The Association has a responsibility to manage the assets of the organisation in a responsible and transparent manner.
4.3 Standards Panel Sitting Fee Obligations

That the Committee note the contents of this report and the intention to provide a verbal update at the Meeting.

Resolved

Background
The Committee would be aware of the previous decisions in relation to payment structures for members of the Standards Panel, however, a matter has arisen that is necessary to bring to the Committee's attention. Under payment arrangements pertaining to employees or persons that could be deemed to be employees, there is a requirement that when remuneration exceeds $450 per month, superannuation is payable.

In the case of Standards Panel members, the $450 threshold has been triggered on a few occasions in recent times given the volume of activity. Advice is presently being sought from the Association’s accounting and taxation advisor on the accounting and payment regime that will be necessary to implement the appropriate payment and allocation structure. An issue arises in relation to retrospectivity and whether there are any penalties applicable for late payment. This matter is also presently being looked into. It is hoped that the new arrangements can be restricted to this year but if obligations need to be met for the preceding year it will need to be addressed. It is not envisaged that there are major payments outstanding and there are few members that will be affected by the omission but it is important that the issue is rectified appropriately and swiftly.

It is anticipated that expert advice will be available by the time of the GMC meeting and a verbal update will be provided at that time.

Policy Implications
The Association seeks to meet its remuneration requirements in accordance with all prevailing legislation.

Budget Implications
The cost of the Standards Panel is generally borne by those councils utilizing its services. There may be a small sum that will not easily be attributed to past complaint processes and may need to be met from the Association’s budget.
5. ADMINISTRATION

Administration Items for Discussion & Decision.

5.1 STAFFING

That the Committee note the following report.

Noted

Background
This report is to provide information of some actual and potential staffing changes.

Georgia Palmer, who has been working part-time on climate change issues, commences her maternity leave on 17 December, 2010 and Melanie Brown will be picking up the climate change portfolio.

As reported earlier, the position of Project Manager – Financial and Asset Management has been advertised. This position will be 3-4 days per week, depending on the skills level of the successful applicant and will be based at LGAT initially, with increasing travel to the regions in the later part of the project.

Dependent on the outcome of a State Government Budget Bid, the Association may host two officers from the Environment Division to work with council Environmental Health Officers (EHOs) in relation to emissions monitoring and control.

Broadly the proposal is that the officers engaged will adopt a hands-on approach to air quality issues and work in collaboration with and on behalf of council EHO’s. They will be available to Council EHO’s to provide specialist assistance in circumstances where problematic air quality issues exist. They will also be required to influence positive public health outcomes through their participation in activities designed to further the achievement of the air quality objectives of the Tasmanian Air Quality Strategy 2006 and through direct interactions with the public. The details of the activities and responsibilities of the officers are yet to be determined. These details will be finalised and included in an MOU or other appropriate documentation once funding is confirmed. It is expected that Councils will provide significant input into the terms of the MOU.

The Association will be undertaking a review of staffing in the New Year against known work priorities and budget. There is concern that we are not sufficiently resourced owing to the increasing workload for the Standards Panel Registrar. This used to form a small component of a policy officer role but now reduces the ability to work proactively on policy components such as the review of the regulations and development of the model code. It may be more efficient to look at staffing models which include a stand-alone registrar.

Budget Implications
With available budget.

Current Policy
Does not apply.
5.2 SUBJECT: CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S PERFORMANCE REVIEW

This item was discussed in a closed session and Minutes for this item will be provided to Committee Members only.

5.3 GMC REPRESENTATION

Mayor Deirdre Flint/Mayor Jock Campbell

That Mayor Lynn Laycock be the General Management Committee representative for the North West and West Coast Electoral District with a population of 20,000 or more, with the proxy to be Mayor Jan Bonde, Central Coast Council.

Carried

Background

With the recent vacancy on the GMC Committee due to the passing of Mayor Mike Downie, Central Coast Council has written to the Association advising that at their last council meeting the following motion had been passed –

That the Council agree to Mayor Lynn Laycock, Devonport City Council, continuing as the Local Government Association of Tasmania General Management Committee representative for both the Central Coast and Devonport City Councils for the remainder of the term left vacant following the death of Clr Mike Downie; provided that an arrangement can be made with Devonport City Council whereby the Central Coast Council provides an elected member for the role of proxy.
5.4 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next GMC meeting is scheduled for Wednesday 9 March, 2011 to be held in Hobart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 March</td>
<td>GMC Meeting</td>
<td>Hobart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 April</td>
<td>General Meeting</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 May</td>
<td>GMC Meeting</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 July</td>
<td>AGM/General Meeting</td>
<td>Wrest Point, Hobart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 – 22 July</td>
<td>LGAT Annual Conference</td>
<td>Wrest Point, Hobart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 September</td>
<td>General Meeting</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GMC Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 November</td>
<td>General Meeting</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 December</td>
<td>GMC/PLGC</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5 OTHER BUSINESS & CLOSE

There being no further business, the President declared the meeting closed at 12.45pm.